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Coexistence and Interoperability

in a mixed vendor PLC environment

Coexistence and Interoperability are very important, but often confused, concepts in the world of networking technologies. Many users mistake one for the other; leading to false expectations in the expansion of their network because of these very different concepts.

Interoperability of networking technologies (at the physical layer) occurs when different chipsets are able to send and receive data between each other. There are 2 different aspects to interoperability – functionality and performance. Basic, functional interoperability is necessary but not really sufficient. It is much better when the performance (bit rate, loss, latency) achieved by endpoints using different chipsets is close to the performance achieved by endpoints with the same chipset; this is known as a high degree of (or full), interoperability. Full interoperability is facilitated by the technology standard specifying all the details needed for implementation. Tight specifications are great for interoperability but may restrict vendor innovation and differentiation. Looser specifications allow for different implementations but make interoperability less likely.

Where devices are sold via retail outlets and marketed as the same technology, it is essential that all devices provide at least the basic degree of interoperability. When devices are supplied by service providers, they will be concerned with delivering their own performance requirements. Some providers may be happy to do this by using the same chipsets for all devices, in which case interoperability is not important. However where having multiple chipset sources available is a key requirement, then full interoperability is needed.

Certification and compliance programs provide an important service to consumers and service providers who need full interoperability, by bridging the gap between a spec that allows for innovation and a test that assures interoperability even when the features of the products may be different. Setting and testing (at least) the minimum expected interoperable performance is a large part of such certification efforts. It is important that the performance targets are neither set so low that they are perceived as useless, nor so high as to be largely unachievable or unnecessary, which might hinder the market roll-out of the technology.

A special case of interoperability is backward compatibility. Backward compatibility occurs when an implementation of a new version of a technology can also interoperate with older versions. An example of this is that 802.11g chips are able to interoperate with the older 802.11b chips, and 802.11ac interoperates with 802.11n. Backward compatibility is achieved by implementing both the old and new technology specifications in the newer device. Whenever new implementations communicate with an implementation of the old technology, they fall back to using the old technology. Ideally, the new implementations will use the new technology when communicating with other new implementations. However, if the presence
of an old implementation causes all devices on a given network to fall back to the old
technology, and the performance difference between old and new is significant, then
backward compatibility may not be worth having. The importance of backward compatibility
depends on the market penetration of the old technology, the cost of replacing the old
devices, the impact on performance etc.

Coexistence is not related to interoperability. Coexistence attempts to solve the problem of
devices with different (non-interoperable) technologies using the same physical network
segment. There is no expectation these devices can receive/send data from/to each other.
Coexistence allows different technologies to share the same frequency spectrum on the same
physical medium, preferably in a fair, or controlled, fashion. When disparate technologies
coexist, the performance of both is decreased. When disparate technologies are attached to
the same physical powerline without employing coexistence mechanisms, their performance
and behavior will be unpredictable.

In principle coexistence can be accomplished for powerline technologies using the Inter
HomePlug it is specified in IEEE 1901 [2]. ISP allows all three of these technologies to signal
their presence to each other, and then use Time Division Multiplexing (TDM) to take turns
using the same frequency spectrum on the same physical powerline. ISP has been
implemented in some G.hn silicon, but there is no known HomePlug implementation. Where
ISP is only implemented in one technology present on a physical powerline, it provides no
benefit.

A method that can be used to achieve partial coexistence is for a technology chipset to include
a proprietary mechanism to recognize the presence of another technology, and then take
steps to decrease its impact on the other technology while mitigating impacts to itself. Steps
taken may include avoiding parts of the frequency spectrum used by the other technology and
limiting use of that frequency while it is being used by the other technology. Some G.hn silicon
has implemented such a proprietary mechanism to allow it to coexist with HomePlug AV.

A concept that is closely related to coexistence is that of neighboring networks. This occurs
where devices using the same technology are attached to the same physical medium but are
used to create distinct networks that are not intended to communicate with each other. This
can be very useful when neighboring dormitory rooms or apartments are supplied by common
powerlines. The neighboring tenants will each want their own secured network. G.hn is the
only standard for wired home networks that incorporates effective mitigation of interference
from neighboring powerline technologies [3].

Interoperability, backwards compatibility, coexistence, and neighboring networks are all
concepts that can have a very real impact on the usability and performance of powerline
technologies. Organizations like HomeGrid Forum have an important role in pushing
implementations to support these concepts where appropriate, but without introducing
unnecessary cost where there is little demand or perceived benefit. HomeGrid Forum takes its
role in providing information to educate potential customers about these important concepts
very seriously, and works to ensure HGF marketing claims and logos provide the best possible
user experience.
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